1 KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP ROVENS LAMB LLP Jane Kim (#298192) Steven A. Lamb (#132534) (jkim@kbkllp.com) (slamb@rovenslamb.com) David A. Taylor (#247433) 2601 Airport Drive, Suite 370 Torrance, CA 90505 3 (dtaylor@kbkllp.com) Thomas B. Rupp (#278041) Tel: 310 536 7830 (trupp@kbkllp.com) 4 425 Market Street, 26th Floor LAW OFFICES OF JENNIFER L. DODGE INC. 5 San Francisco, CA 94105 Jennifer L. Dodge (#195321) Tel: 415 496 6723 (idodgelaw@jenniferdodgelaw.com) Fax: 650 636 9251 2512 Artesia Blvd., Suite 300D 6 Redondo Beach, California 90278 7 Tel: (310) 372.3344 Fax: (310) 861.8044 8 Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors 9 10 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 12 Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM) 13 In re: Chapter 11 14 (Lead Case) (Jointly Administered) **PG&E CORPORATION,** 15 - and -DECLARATION OF STEVEN A. LAMB IN 16 SUPPORT OF EX PARTE APPLICATION PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC PURSUANT TO LOCAL BANKRUPTCY 17 **RULE 9006-1(C) FOR AN ORDER** COMPANY, MODIFYING DATES AND DEADLINES 18 REGARDING OBJECTION TO CLAIM OF Debtors. AMIR SHAHMIRZA AND KOMIR, INC. 19 ☐ Affects PG&E Corporation ☐ Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company [Related to Dkt. Nos. 12130, 13920, 13921] 20 ★ Affects both Debtors 21 * All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM). 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 14055 Filed: 10/10/23 Entered: 10/10/23 17:22:55 Page 1

of 4

28

I, Steven A. Lamb, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney with the law firm of Rovens Lamb LLP and am one of the attorneys representing the Reorganized Debtors and am counsel of record on behalf of the Reorganized Debtors in *Amir Shahmirza and Komir, Inc. v. PG&E Corporation*, San Mateo Superior Court Case 18CIV06064, filed November 9, 2018. The facts set forth herein are true of my own personal knowledge, and if called upon to testify thereto, I could and would competently do so under oath.
- 2. I make this Declaration in support of the Reorganized Debtors' Ex Parte Application Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9006-1(c) for an Order Modifying Dates and Deadlines Regarding Objection to Claim of Amir Shahmirza and Komir, Inc. (the "Application").
- 3. On September 29, 2023, I contacted Shahmirza's counsel and requested that Shahmirza agree to continue the current schedule by 60-90 days. In furtherance of this request, I have had several discussions with Shahmirza's counsel. This included discussions regarding mediation and we discussed and agreed on engaging Bradley Bening as a mediator and discussed potential mediation dates. On October 5, 2023, Shahmirza's counsel informed me that Shahmirza would not agree to the requested continuance. On October 9, 2023, I informed Shahmirza's counsel that the Reorganized Debtors would file an *ex parte* application to request the continuance set forth herein.
- 4. Although the Parties have tentatively agreed on Bradley Bening as the mediator, we never agreed on a date for the mediation.² Mr. Bening had advised the Parties that he is not available to mediate this matter in the month of December. Mr. Bening previously advised the Parties that his available dates for mediation of this matter were November 30, 2023, or sometime in January 2024.
- 5. I informed Shahmirza's counsel that the Reorganized Debtors are offering to pay the fee for the mediation and that there would be no cost to Shahmirza for the mediator if Shahmirza agrees to a 60-90 day continuance to allow for the mediation to proceed by their chosen mediator's schedule. Shahmirza's counsel noted that the mediation would likely take more than one day. Additionally, while the Parties have conducted a site survey of the property with an appraiser, I anticipate that the mediator

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 14055 Filed: 10/10/23 Entered: 10/10/23 17:22:55 Page 2

¹ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Application.

² Mr. Bening has extensive expertise in complex construction, real estate and business litigation matters.

will likely ask for a session that would include a site survey so the Mediator can fully appreciate the nature and qualities of the Komir property. The earliest date Shahmirza's counsel could schedule the site survey for the appraiser was September 22, 2023. The site survey took about two hours and was completed on September 22, 2023. Because counsel for Shahmirza has not agreed to the requested continuance, I was not able to reserve the November 30, 2023, date with Mr. Bening and now he is no longer available. Mr. Bening has available dates for mediation in January 2024.

- 6. The Reorganized Debtors have agreed to every prior request by Shahmirza for a continuance of scheduling pretrial matters.
 - 7. The Reorganized Debtors' proposed revised dates and deadlines are as follows:

C + D +	T	D 1D (
Current Date	Event	Proposed Date
October 6, 2023	Written Discovery Completion Deadline	October 6, 2023
		(no change)
October 12, 2023	Deposition of Amir Shahmirza and	October 12, 2023
	Komir, Inc.	(no change)
October 15, 2023	Percipient Witness Deposition Deadline	October 15, 2023
	-	(no change)
October 20, 2023	Reorganized Debtors' Deadline to	January 22, 2024
	Respond to Second MSJ	-
November 17, 2023	Deadline for Shahmirza to file Reply	February 12, 2024
November 18, 2023	Deadline to Exchange Expert Reports	November 18, 2023
		(no change)
December 19, 2023 (Per	Hearing on Second MSJ	Week of March 19,
Dkt. No. 13935)		2024, subject to the
,		Court's calendar
TBD	Further Status Conference to set trial and	TBD (no change)
	pre-trial matters	
December 31, 2023	Deadline to complete expert depositions	December 31, 2023
		(no change)
Late Jan. or early Feb.	TRIAL	Early April 2024 or
2024 (subject to		thereafter, subject to the
agreement by Parties or		Court's calendar
court approval)		

- 8. The current dates and deadlines do not allow adequate time to:
 - a. Complete percipient discovery and obtain a transcript from the court reporter in order to respond to the Second MSJ, if necessary;
 - b. Complete expert reports, exchange expert reports, and complete expert discovery;
 - c. Conduct a mediation according to Mr. Bening's schedule;
 - d. Allow the Reorganized Debtors to evaluate whether the Reorganized Debtors should file a separate action in state court for eminent domain. Based on the

Court's prior ruling on the first summary judgment motion, the Reorganized Debtors are considering filing an eminent domain action in State Court, in order to resolve the issue of the easement for the continued rights to keep and maintain the overhead transmission lines over the Komir Property once and for all, and ensure that any determined taking of property is awarded just compensation. Such an action would have the potential to moot the second motion for summary judgment. But, in order to proceed on this path, Reorganized Debtors must first secure an appraisal, provide a statutory offer to the landowner, and then, after a fixed period, would it be able to proceed with the condemnation action. After meaningful consideration of this Court's prior ruling, Debtor has retained an expert to conduct the appraisal that is essential to the evaluation.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this tenth day of October 2023 at Torrance, California.

By: /s/ Steven A. Lamb

Steven A. Lamb

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 14055 Filed: 10/10/23 Entered: 10/10/23 17:22:55 Page 4

of Δ